AND COLD I

PROSPECTS FOR INCREASED PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE,
SOURCES AND METHODS, THROUGH IMPLEMENTATION OF
DCID NO. 1/14, DATED 23 JUNE 1967

1. BACKGROUND

- A. THE NEED FOR UNIFORMITY IN THE PERSONNEL SECURITY

 CRITERIA FOR ACCESS TO COMPARTMENTED INTELLIGENCE

 WAS HIGHLIGHTED BY PFIAB ITSELF IN ITS STUDY OF THE

 DUNLAP CASE IN 1964 AND WAS ASSIGNED TO THE USIB SECURITY

 COMMITTEE FOR ACTION.
- B. THE DISCOVERY IN 1965 OF THE ESPIONAGE ACTIVITY OF SGT.

 ROBERT L. JOHNSON, ESPECIALLY WHILE HE WAS ASSIGNED

 TO THE ARMED FORCES COURIER SERVICE (ARFCOS) SERVED

 TO RE-EMPHASIZE THE NEED FOR UNIFORMITY IN THIS FIELD.
- C. AFTER CONSIDERABLE INTER-AGENCY COORDINATION AS WELL AS CONSULTATION WITH OTHER USIB COMMITTEES (SIGINT COMOR) THE DCID WAS ISSUED IN 1967.

2. SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM

- A. THE DCID ESTABLISHES UNIFORM PERSONNEL SECURITY
 APPROVAL CRITERIA INCLUDING THE SCOPE OF THE
 INVESTIGATION, FOR ACCESS TO ALL THE COMMUNITY'S
 COMPARTMENTED COLLECTION SYSTEMS (EXCEPT
 RESTRICTED DATA WHICH HAS STATUTORY CONTROL).
- B. THESE SYSTEMS REPRESENT OUR MOST SENSITIVE, MOST PRODUCTIVE AND MOST EXPENSIVE COLLECTION EFFORTS.
- C. THE NUMBER OF PERSONS WITH THESE CLEARANCES WITHIN THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY IS STAGGERING:

SI: 25X1A 25X1A

TKH AND APPROXIMATELY

25X1A

3. IMPACT OF DCID 1/14 ON THE COMMUNITY

- A. ESTABLISHES, FOR THE FIRST TIME, COMMON PERSONNEL SECURITY CRITERIA AND UNIFORM INVESTIGATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL SYSTEMS.
- B. WILL FACILITATE SECURITY CERTIFICATIONS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.
- C. STRESSES THAT A SECURITY APPROVAL MUST BE A COMMON SENSE DETERMINATION BASED ON ALL AVAILABLE INFORMATION.

- D. CONTINGENT UPON "NEED-TO-KNOW," A PERSON CLEARED FOR ONE SYSTEM CAN NOW EASILY BE PROCESSED FOR ACCESS TO ANOTHER SYSTEM, SINCE THE STANDARDS ARE UNIFORM.
- E. THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A PERIODIC (5-YEAR) RE-INVESTI-GATION IS A VALUABLE CI TOOL.
- F. AS A SIDE EFFECT, THE USIB APPROVED RECOMMENDATION
 THAT ALL PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO ARFCOS MEET DCID
 1/14 STANDARDS, WILL BOTH UP-GRADE ARFCOS SECURITY
 AND AT THE SAME TIME, PROVIDE GREATER SECURITY FOR
 THE COMMUNITY'S INTELLIGENCE DOCUMENTS CARRIED
 THROUGH ARFCOS CHANNELS.

4. PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE

- A. WITH DCID 1/14 ESTABLISHING A PRECEDENT, WE MAY EXPECT SOME PROGRESS TOWARD UNIFORMITY IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS:
 - THE PERSONAL HISTORY STATEMENT (PHS):

 A WORKING GROUP HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED

 TO EXPLORE THE FEASIBILITY OF DEVELOPING
 A COMMON PHS FOR THE COMMUNITY.

- 2) PHYSICAL SECURITY STANDARDS (MONEY IS

 A PERENNIAL PROBLEM IN THIS FIELD, BUT

 A START HAS BEEN MADE IN THE ACCEPTANCE

 OF A COMMON FORMAT FOR PHYSICAL SECURITY

 SURVEYS).
- COLLECTION METHODS, AND THE ACADEMIC

 BACKGROUND OF THOSE INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE

 INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY INVOLVED IN THESE

 PROGRAMS, SECURITY REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR

 ARTICLES, SPEECHES AND PARTICIPATION IN

 SEMINARS ARE MOST IMPORTANT. TO ATTAIN

 MORE UNIFORMITY IN THIS FIELD, A WORKING

 GROUP HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED TO REVIEW THE

 SECURITY REVIEW PROCEDURES OF MEMBER AGENCIES

 TO ENSURE UNIFORMITY.
- 5. A SECURITY PROBLEM: NATIONAL SECURITY AND THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY
 - A. THE ERVIN BILL (S-1035)

A BILL TO PROTECT EMPLOYEES OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE GOVERNMENT:

- (1) TO ENJOY THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
- (2) TO PREVENT UNWARRANTED GOVERNMENTAL INVASION OF PRIVACY

B. STATUS

PASSED BY SENATE ON 13 SEPTEMBER 1967 BY AN OVER-WHELMING MAJORITY. INTRODUCED INTO THE HOUSE AND NOW IN COMMITTEE ON POST OFFICE AND CIVIL SERVICE.

C. SALIENT POINTS IN BRIEF - PROHIBITIONS

- (1) TO DISCLOSE RACE, RELIGION OR NATIONAL ORIGIN
 OR THAT OF FOREBEARS. (INQUIRY CAN BE MADE
 WHEN DEEMED NECESSARY OR ADVISABLE TO
 DETERMINE SUITABILITY FOR ASSIGNMENT RELATED
 TO NATIONAL SECURITY WITHIN OR OUTSIDE U.S.).
- (2) TO MAKE ANY REPORT CONCERNING HIS ACTIVITIES

 OR UNDERTAKINGS UNLESS SUCH ARE RELATED TO

 PERFORMANCE OF OFFICIAL DUTIES. (DOES NOT

 PRECLUDE IF THERE IS BELIEF OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES

 OR EMPLOYMENT IS IN CONFLICT WITH OFFICIAL

 DUTIES).

- (3) TO SUBMIT TO INTERROGATION (WHERE INVESTIGATION IS FOR MISCONDUCT) WHICH COULD LEAD TO
 DISCIPLINARY ACTION WITHOUT PRESENCE OF
 COUNSEL OR PERSON OF CHOICE, IF REQUESTED.
- (4) TO DISCLOSE ANY ITEMS OF PROPERTY, INCOME,
 ETC. OR THOSE OF ANY MEMBER OF FAMILY UNLESS
 CONFLICT OF INTEREST IS INVOLVED.
- (5) TO SUBMIT TO ANY INTERROGATION OR EXAMINATION
 OR TAKE ANY PSYCHOLOGICAL TEST DESIGNED TO
 ELICIT INFORMATION (WITH ANY PERSON CONNECTED
 BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR
 PRACTICES, ATTITUDE OR CONDUCT RE SEXUAL
 MATTERS). DOES NOT PRECLUDE SPECIFIC SEXUAL
 MISCONDUCT CHARGES MADE AGAINST THAT PERSON
 FOR WHICH AN OPPORTUNITY IS AFFORDED TO REFUTE
 CHARGE.
- (6) TO TAKE A POLYGRAPH TEST IN APPLYING FOR EMPLOYMENT DESIGNED TO ELICIT INFO AS GIVEN ABOVE.

(7) TO REQUIRE PARTICIPATION IN ANY ACTIVITIES
OR UNDERTAKINGS UNLESS RELATED TO OFFICIAL
DUTIES.

D. IMPACT OF BILL ON SENSITIVE AGENCIES

- (1) LIMITS QUESTIONS INVOLVING NATIONAL ORIGIN OF APPLICANT, PARENTS, SPOUSE AND RELATIVES BY MARRIAGE, BLOOD OR ADOPTION. ESSENTIAL IN PROTECTION OF NATIONAL SECURITY.
- VERSIVE ORGANIZATIONS, FRONT ORGANIZATIONS,

 PRIVATE FOREIGN TRAVEL, UNOFFICIAL CONTACTS IN

 U. S. WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE USSR, ITS

 SATELLITES, OR COUNTRIES UNDER COMMUNIST

 CONTROL.
- (3) PRECLUDES PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS FOR SENSITIVE ASSIGNMENTS AND RELATED MATTERS.
- (4) PRECLUDES THE USE OF THE POLYGRAPH AS AN AID TO INVESTIGATIONS.
- (5) LIMITS THE USE OF AN EFFECTIVE PERSONAL HISTORY STATEMENT.

SECRET

- (6) ADVERSE EFFECT ON FIELD INVESTIGATIONS.
- (7) SOME LIMITATIONS ON INTERROGATIONS FOR MIS-CONDUCT WHICH MAY INVOLVE NATIONAL SECURITY.

E. EXCEPTIONS

FBI, CIA AND NSA - DOES NOT PRECLUDE THESE
AGENCIES FROM REQUESTING AN EMPLOYEE OR APPLICANT
TO TAKE A POLYGRAPH TEST OR A PSYCHOLOGICAL TEST
WHEN SUCH TEST OR INFORMATION IS REQUIRED TO PROTECT
THE NATIONAL SECURITY.

OTHER MEMBERS OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY NOT EXCEPTED. THEY RECEIVE THE MOST SENSITIVE INFORMATION FROM CIA.

SECRET